

United States Patent and Trademark Office

AL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alleanaged Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,709	07/02/2001	Nicholas D. Staikos		6461	
75	90 11/03/2003		EXAMINER		
Dimitri N. Sta	ikos		CUEVAS, PEDRO J		
Green Acres 1306 Quincy Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wilminton, DE	19803		2834		
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2001	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/895,709	STAIKOS ET AL.		
Examin r	Art Unit	_	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.115

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expres on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) \(\sigma\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the pending claims were not amended to overcome the rejection.
6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8 ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 17 June 2002 is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet PRIMARY EXAMINEP

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's reply has presented re-drafted claims 1, 2, and 3. The changes to the claims do not overcome the standing rejection because the planar orientation of the sprocket wheels is a new issue which was not stated in the original claims. The applicant intends to withdraw claims 4-7 from consideration. A proper amendment presenting the amended claims 1-3 and cancelling claims 4-7 is required. According to the new amendment practice, a clean version of the claims under consideration, showing the final form and the status (new, amended, cancelled) of all claims is required. Since the prosecution of this case is currently closes, the applican must request a continuation of the examination for the case. This action will automatically enter the desired changes and open the prosecution of the application in amended form.